

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/937,844	09/28/2001	Shahram Mihan	0050/50536	7068	
26474	7590 11/18/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KEIL & WEINKAUF 1350 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			LU, C CAIXIA		
	ON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1713		
		•	DATE MAILED: 11/18/200	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_ ĐƯ				
, ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/937,844	MIHAN ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Caixia Lu	1713					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	s				
THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment whic eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	ation. A proper reply to	a				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail	ling date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WATOR TO THE TROUBLE TO THE TROUBLE THE PLY WATOR TO THE TROUBLE THE PLY WATOR TO THE PROBLEM TO THE PROBLEM THE PLY WATOR TO THE PROBLEM THE PLY WATOR TO THE PROBLEM THE PLY WATOR TO THE PROBLEM TH	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See	MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	or extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the man	ount of the fee. The appropria	ate extension				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplif	fying the				
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceNOTE:	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.					
3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:							
		Carrier Lo Caixla Lu, Ph. D.					
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1713					



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the same rationale as set forth in the previous office action mailed June, 18, 2003. In responding to applicants' argument about the unexpected results, applicants' attentions are directed to Table 1 of page 22, applicants own working examples, such as 11-16 and 18-20 listed in the table, do not give good polymer productivity.